Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved	
Overall Rating:	Satisfactory
Decision:	
Portfolio/Project Number:	00059195
Portfolio/Project Title:	Proyecto Desarrollo y Modernizacion Rural Region Central
Portfolio/Project Date:	2011-01-28 / 2019-12-31

Strategic Quality Rating: Satisfactory

- 1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the proje strategy?
- 3: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determir if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)
- 2: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but here is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

Evidence:

Sí, el proyecto tuvo un monitoreo en territorio y mo nitoreo de actividades para retroalimentación y gest ión adaptativa para lograr los resultados.

List of Uploaded Documents				
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On	
No	documents available.			

- 2. Was the project aligned with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan?
- 3: The project responded to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP, and adopted at least one Signature Solution . The project's RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- 2: The project responded to at least one of the developments settings1 as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- 1: While the project may have responded to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

El proyecto está alineado con la reducción de la po breza, la resiliencia y el empoderamiento de la muje r.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On

No documents available.

Relevant Quality Rating: Satisfactory

- 3. Were the project's targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remained relevant for them?
- 3: Systematic and structured feedback was collected over the project duration from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitorir system. Representatives from the targeted groups were active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)
- 2: Targeted groups were engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, was collected regularly to ensure the project addressed local priorities. This information was used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)
- 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did not inform project decision making. This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was collected
- Not Applicable

Los beneficiarios estuvieron involucrados en la may or parte de la ejecución del proyecto. De hecho el 6 5% de los fondos fueron ejecutados a través de co operativas o asociaciones de productores.

List of Uploaded Documents				
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On	
No	documents available.			

- 4. Did the project generate knowledge, and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has thi knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?
- 3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring were discussed in project board meetings and reflecte in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, were considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned were collected by the project team. There is little or no evidence that this informed project decision making.

Evidence:

Sí, el proyecto ha tenido una serie de lecciones apr endidas que se compilan en el informe final y el info rme de evaluación.

List of Uploaded Documents		
# File Name Modified By Modified On		

- 5. Was the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?
- 3: There was credible evidence that the project reached sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.
- 2: While the project was not considered at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).
- 1: The project was not at scale, and there are no plans to scale up the project in the future.

El proyecto tiene potencial para ser escalado. En la s evaluaciones se destacan las buenas prácticas y l as recomendaciones para continuar con las interven ciones de este tipo. Ver documentos anexos en la pregunta 4.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ITP_PRODEMORCENTRAL_728SV_Oct16 _3878_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ITP_PRODEMORCENTRAL_728SV_Oct16_3878_305.pdf)	silvia.vides@one.un.org	2/18/2020 11:49:00 PM
2	ITP_PCA_18_09_2019_Final003_3878_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/Q AFormDocuments/ITP_PCA_18_09_2019_Final003_3878_305.pdf)	silvia.vides@one.un.org	2/18/2020 11:50:00 PM
3	PCA_Informe_Evaluación_300919003_3878 _305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Project QA/QAFormDocuments/PCA_Informe_Evalu ación_300919003_3878_305.pdf)	silvia.vides@one.un.org	2/18/2020 11:50:00 PM

Principled Quality Rating: Satisfactory

- 6. Were the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produced the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes were made.
 - 3: The project team gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measure to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 2: The project team had some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team had limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

Sí, el proyecto ha sistematizado sus resultados en empoderamiento económico de la mujer. Dentro de la unidad ejecutora hay una persona encargada de género y todo el equipo de proyecto tiene metas vin culadas a género.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

- 7. Were social and environmental impacts and risks successfully managed and monitored?
- 3: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there is a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP was updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- 2: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level c social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project was categorized as Low risk through the SESP.
- 1: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk, there was no evidence that social and environmental assessments completed and/or management plar or measures development, implemented or monitored. There are substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP was not updated. (any may be true)

Evidence:

Sí, hubo gestión preventiva de riesgos sociales y a mbientales y retroalimentación anual con la Junta d e Proyecto.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

- 8. Were grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and were grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm was effectively mitigated?
- 3: Project-affected people actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)
- 2: Project-affected people informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. the project was categorized as High Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were responded to but facec challenges in arriving at a resolution.
- 1: Project-affected people was not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances were received, they were not responded to. (any may be true)

El proyecto se apoyaba de los mecanismos de res olución de conflictos establecidos en el MAG. PNU D es informado, pero el primero en actuar es el As ociado en la implementación.

List of Uploaded Documents

File Name Modified By Modified On

No documents available.

Management & Monitoring

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

- 9. Was the project's M&E Plan adequately implemented?
- 3: The project had a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones were fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, included during evaluations and/or After-Action Reviews, were used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)
- 2: The project costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets were populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was collected on a regular basis, although there was may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources was not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, met most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were captured but were used to take corrective actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project had M&E Plan, but costs were not clearly planned and budgeted for, or were unrealistic. Progress data was not regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations did not me decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were rarely captured and used. Select this option also the project did not have an M&E plan.

Sí, el proyecto completó su plan de monitoreo y ev aluación cumpliendo con protocolos de PNUD, FID A y Gobierno.

List of Uploaded Documents # File Name Modified By Modified On No documents available.

10. Was the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended?

- 3: The project's governance mechanism operated well, and was a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings were all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence, including progress data knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)
- 2: The project's governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on fill A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)
- 1: The project's governance mechanism did not meet in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent was not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

Sí, los mecanismos de toma de decisiones funciona ron adecuadamente y el proyecto terminó con los r esultados previstos.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

- 11. Were risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?
- 3: The project monitored risks every quarter and consulted with the key stakeholders, security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks to assess if the main assumptions remained valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)
- 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates were made to management plans and mitigation measures.
- 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There was may be some evidence that the project monitored risks that may affected the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that manageme actions were taken to mitigate risks.

Sí, eran parte de la rutina de monitoreo del proyect o con PNUD y con FIDA.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
---	-----------	-------------	-------------

No documents available.

Efficient

Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory

12. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

Yes

○No

Evidence:

El proyecto fue totalmente financiado por el présta mo FIDA. Hubo perdida por el cambio de moneda (Euros a Dólares).

List of Uploaded Documents

File Name Modified By Modified On

No documents available.

13. Were project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?

- 3: The project had a procurement plan and kept it updated. The project quarterly reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 2: The project had updated procurement plan. The project annually reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not have an updated procurement plan. The project team may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs regularly, however management actions were not taken to address them.

Sí, el proyecto hizo un plan de adquisiciones anual mente y cumplió los productos previstos.

Lis	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

- 14. Was there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies, taking into account the expected quality of results?
- 3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviewed costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximized results delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinated with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP other) to ensure complementarity and sought efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true)
- 2: The project monitored its own costs and gave anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there was no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinated activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.
- 1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitored its own costs and considered ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.

El proyecto tuvo un estricto control financiero. No h ubo ahorros porque el proyecto perdió por diferenci a cambiaria, pero sí tuvo decisiones financieras ace rtadas para el logro de los resultados.

List of Uploaded Documents

File Name Modified By Modified On

No documents available.

Effective

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

- 15. Was the project on track and delivered its expected outputs?
- Yes
- O No

Evidence:

Sí, finalizó según lo previsto.

List of Uploaded Documents

File Name Modified By Modified On

No documents available.

16. Were there regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project was on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

- 3: Quarterly progress data informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learne (including from evaluations /or After-Action Reviews) were used to inform course corrections, as needed. Ar necessary budget revisions were made. (both must be true)
- 2: There was at least one review of the work plan per year with a view to assessing if project activities wer on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence th data or lessons learned were used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.
- 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure output were delivered on time, no link was made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management took place.

Sí, el proyecto daba seguimiento a su plan de traba jo de manera mensual y hacia reportes al MAG, a F IDA y a PNUD (trimestralmente).

File Name Modified By Modified On No documents available.

17. Were the targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected?

- 3: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources o their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups were reached as intended. The project engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they benefited as expected ar adjustments were made if necessary, to refine targeting. (all must be true)
- 2: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they were benefiting as expected. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There is some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefited as expected, but it was limited or did not occurred in the past year.
- Not Applicable

Sí, la estrategia del proyecto se basa en la identific ación de beneficiarios potenciales y el seguimiento de donaciones para el logro de resultados de desar rollo.

List of Uploaded Documents

File Name Modified By Modified On

No documents available.

Sustainability & National Ownership

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

18. Were stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

- 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 2: National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to implement and monitor the project (such as country office support or project systems) were also used, if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decisionmaking, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- Not Applicable

PNUD apoyaba los procesos de reclutamiento y ad quisiciones y tenía un rol en el seguimiento, monitor eo y evaluación. La junta de proyecto estuvo siempr e informada de los avances y los riesgos.

Lis	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

- 19. Were there regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant the project, as needed, and were the implementation arrangements⁸ adjusted according to changes in partner capacities?
 - 3: Changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems were assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements were formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 2: Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems were monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment was made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- Not Applicable

Sí, este proyecto tuvo auditorías NIM, spotchecks, auditorías de la Sede, evaluaciones y auditorías de la Sede. ASimismo, como parte de las actividades de garantía fiduciaria, PNUD se incorporó en los co mités de pre aprobación de propuestas. El proyect o maneja anticipos de fondos porque tiene un buen nivel de capacidades.

List of Uploaded Documents				
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On	
No	documents available.			

- 20. Were the transition and phase-out arrangements were reviewed and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitment and capacity).
 - 3: The project's governance mechanism regularly reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan was implemented as planned by the end of the project, taking into account any adjustments made during implementation. (both must be true)
- 2: There was a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.
- 1: The project may have had a sustainability plan but there was no review of this strategy after it was developed. Also select this option if the project did not have a sustainability strategy.

Evidence:

La estrategia de salida y el plan de sostenibilidad e stan vinculados a la creación de capacidades institu cionales de la Dirección de Desarrollo Rural de MA G.

ŧ	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No d	locuments available.		
	ocamonic available.		